

## Category $\mathcal{O}$ : Methods

- ▶ The functors  $\text{Hom}$  and  $\text{Ext}$
- ▶ A duality functor  $M \mapsto M^\vee$  on  $\mathcal{O}$
- ▶ Reflection groups, dominant and antidominant weights
- ▶ Tensoring Verma modules with finite dimensional modules
- ▶ “Standard” filtrations having Verma modules as subquotients
- ▶ Projective objects in  $\mathcal{O}$  and BGG reciprocity
- ▶ “Contravariant” forms on modules

## 6. Projectives in $\mathcal{O}$

### Definition

$P$  is **projective** if  $\text{Hom}(P, \cdot)$  is exact.

## 6. Projectives in $\mathcal{O}$

### Definition

$P$  is **projective** if  $\text{Hom}(P, \cdot)$  is exact.

Equivalently,  $P$  is projective if

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\forall \pi} & N \\ \exists \psi \uparrow & \nearrow & \\ P & & \end{array}$$

commutes.

## 6. Projectives in $\mathcal{O}$

### Definition

$P$  is **projective** if  $\text{Hom}(P, \cdot)$  is exact.

Equivalently,  $P$  is projective if

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\forall \pi} & N \\ \exists \psi \uparrow & \nearrow \forall \phi & \\ P & & \end{array}$$

commutes.

### Definition

A category  $\mathcal{C}$  has **enough projectives** if for all  $M \in \mathcal{C}$  there exists a projective  $P \in \mathcal{C}$  and an epimorphism  $P \rightarrow M$ .

## Injectives in $\mathcal{O}$

### Definition

$Q$  is **injective** if  $\text{Hom}(\cdot, Q)$  is exact

## Injectives in $\mathcal{O}$

### Definition

$Q$  is **injective** if  $\text{Hom}(\cdot, Q)$  is exact

In  $\mathcal{O}$  the existence of enough projectives implies the existence of enough injectives, thanks to the duality functor.

Therefore we just focus on projectives.

# Enough Projectives in $\mathcal{O}$

## Proposition

(a) Suppose  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  is dominant in  $W_{[\lambda]} \cdot \lambda$ . Then  $M(\lambda)$  is projective in  $\mathcal{O}$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & M & \xrightarrow{\pi} N \\ \uparrow \exists \psi & & \nearrow \\ M(\lambda) & & \end{array}$$

$\varphi$  given

Set  $X = X_{\lambda}$  via  $M(\lambda) \in \mathcal{O}_X$  we have

$\text{im}(\varphi) \subset N^X \Rightarrow$  may assume  $M, N \in \mathcal{O}_X$

$v^+$  max vect in  $M(\lambda) \Rightarrow \varphi(v^+)$  max vect of weight  $\lambda$  in  $N$

$\pi$  surjective  $\Rightarrow \exists v$  of weight  $\lambda$  in  $M$  s.t.  $\varphi(v^+) = \pi(v)$

$M^\perp = \pi$ -submodule of generated by  $v$  must contain max vectors of weight  $\lambda$

$\lambda$  dominant  
linked to  $\lambda$

$$\pi \cdot v = 0 \Rightarrow$$

universal prop of  $M(\lambda)$

$$M(\lambda) \twoheadrightarrow M': v^+ \mapsto v'$$

# Enough Projectives in $\mathcal{O}$

## Proposition

- (a) Suppose  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  is dominant in  $W_{[\lambda]} \cdot \lambda$ . Then  $M(\lambda)$  is projective in  $\mathcal{O}$ .
- (b) If  $P \in \mathcal{O}$  is projective and  $\dim L < \infty$ , then  $P \otimes L$  is projective in  $\mathcal{O}$ .

TP:  $\text{Hom}(P \otimes L, \cdot)$  is exact

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(P \otimes L, M) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(P, \text{Hom}(L, M)) \cong \text{Hom}(P, L^* \otimes M)$$

$P \otimes$

$L^* \otimes \cdot$  is exact  
 $\text{Hom}(P, \cdot)$  exact  
because  $P$  is projective



# Proof

# Enough Projectives in $\mathcal{O}$

Theorem  $(\circ)$

Category  $\mathcal{O}$  has enough projectives.

(\*) Corollary of terminology with verma modules

• First goal:  $\forall \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  find a projective obj  $m \in \mathcal{O}$  mapping onto  $L(\lambda)$

$\mu = \lambda + n\rho$  is dominant for  $n$  large enough  $\xRightarrow{(a)}$   $M(\mu)$  projective  
 $n\rho \in \Lambda^+ \Rightarrow \dim(L(n\rho)) < \infty \xRightarrow{(b)}$   $P = M(\mu) \otimes L(n\rho)$  is projective

lowest weight of  $L(n\rho)$  is  $-n\rho = w_0(n\rho) \xRightarrow{(*)}$

$P$  has quotient  $\cong M(\mu - n\rho) = M(\lambda) \Rightarrow M(\lambda)$  is quotient of a projective module

$\Rightarrow L(\lambda)$  is quotient of a projective module

## Proof

•  $M \in \mathcal{O}$ . Induction on length of  $M$

Assume  $l(M) > 1 \Rightarrow \exists (S \in S) 0 \rightarrow L(\lambda) \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$

$l(N) < l(M) \stackrel{IH}{\Rightarrow} \exists \varphi: Q \rightarrow N$  for some projective  $Q$

Projective property  
 $\Rightarrow$   $\exists$  lifting  $\psi: Q \rightarrow M$ .

Assume  $\psi$  is not surjective  $\Rightarrow M \cong L(\lambda) \oplus N$

$\Rightarrow$  take direct sum of projective mapping onto  $L(\lambda)$  and  $N$

## Remark

### Corollary

Suppose  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

If  $\mu := \lambda + n\rho \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  is dominant, then the projective  $P := M(\mu) \otimes L(n\rho)$  has standard filtration with subquotient  $M(\mu + \nu)$ , where  $\nu$  runs over the weights of  $L(n\rho)$ , counting multiplicity. —

In particular,  $M(\lambda)$  occurs just once and  $\mu + \nu \geq \lambda$ .

# Indecomposable projectives

## Definition

An epimorphism  $\pi : P \rightarrow M$  is **essential** if no proper submodule of  $P$  is mapped onto  $M$ .

# Indecomposable projectives

## Definition

An epimorphism  $\pi : P \rightarrow M$  is **essential** if no proper submodule of  $P$  is mapped onto  $M$ .

## Definition

$\pi : P_M \rightarrow M$  is a **projective cover** of  $M \in C$  if  $\pi$  is an essential epimorphism.

# Indecomposable projectives

## Definition

An epimorphism  $\pi : P \rightarrow M$  is **essential** if no proper submodule of  $P$  is mapped onto  $M$ .

## Definition

$\pi : P_M \rightarrow M$  is a **projective cover** of  $M \in C$  if  $\pi$  is an essential epimorphism.

$\mathcal{O}$  is an artinian module category with enough projectives:

All  $M \in \mathcal{O}$  have a projective cover  $\pi : P_M \rightarrow M$

# Indecomposable projectives

## Definition

An epimorphism  $\pi : P \rightarrow M$  is **essential** if no proper submodule of  $P$  is mapped onto  $M$ .

## Definition

$\pi : P_M \rightarrow M$  is a **projective cover** of  $M \in C$  if  $\pi$  is an essential epimorphism. *and  $P_M$  is projective*

$\mathcal{O}$  is an artinian module category with enough projectives:

All  $M \in \mathcal{O}$  have a projective cover  $\pi : P_M \rightarrow M$

$P_M$  is unique up to isomorphism.



## Indecomposable projectives

For all  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  we denote a fixed projective cover of  $L(\lambda)$  by

$$\pi_\lambda : P(\lambda) \rightarrow L(\lambda)$$

## Indecomposable projectives

For all  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  we denote a fixed projective cover of  $L(\lambda)$  by

$$\pi_\lambda : P(\lambda) \rightarrow L(\lambda)$$

### Proposition

- ▶  $P(\lambda)$  has  $\ker \pi_\lambda$  as its unique maximal submodule.

## Indecomposable projectives

For all  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  we denote a fixed projective cover of  $L(\lambda)$  by

$$\pi_\lambda : P(\lambda) \rightarrow L(\lambda)$$

### Proposition

- ▶  $P(\lambda)$  has  $\ker \pi_\lambda$  as its unique maximal submodule.
- ▶  $P(\lambda)$  is indecomposable.

## Indecomposable projectives

For all  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  we denote a fixed projective cover of  $L(\lambda)$  by

$$\pi_\lambda : P(\lambda) \rightarrow L(\lambda)$$

### Proposition

- ▶  $P(\lambda)$  has  $\ker \pi_\lambda$  as its unique maximal submodule.
- ▶  $P(\lambda)$  is indecomposable.
- ▶  $P(\lambda)$  is a projective cover of  $M(\lambda)$ .

# Properties of $P(\lambda)$

## Theorem

(a) In  $\mathcal{O}$ , every indecomposable projective module is isomorphic to a  $P(\lambda)$

$P \in \mathcal{O}$  indecomposable projective  $\Rightarrow \exists$  simple module  $L(\lambda) \Rightarrow$   
 $\exists \varphi: P \twoheadrightarrow L(\lambda) \Rightarrow \begin{matrix} P(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\pi_\lambda} L(\lambda) \\ \uparrow \gamma \\ P \end{matrix} \Rightarrow \exists \psi: P \twoheadrightarrow P(\lambda)$

$\pi_\lambda$  essential  $\Rightarrow \psi$  surjective  $\Rightarrow \begin{matrix} P & \xrightarrow{\psi} & P(\lambda) \\ \uparrow \gamma & & \uparrow \gamma' \\ P(\lambda) & \xrightarrow{id} & P(\lambda) \end{matrix} \Rightarrow \exists \psi': P(\lambda) \twoheadrightarrow P$  with  $\gamma \circ \psi' = id$

$\Rightarrow P(\lambda) \cong P$

# Properties of $P(\lambda)$

## Theorem

(a) In  $\mathcal{O}$ , every indecomposable projective module is isomorphic to a  $P(\lambda)$

(\*) (b) The number of indecomposables isomorphic to  $P(\lambda)$  in the decomposition of a projective module  $P \in \mathcal{O}$  is equal to  $\dim \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(P, L(\lambda))$ .

$$P \in \mathcal{O} \text{ projective} \stackrel{(*)}{\Rightarrow} P = \bigoplus P(\lambda_i)$$

$$\dim \text{End}(L(\lambda)) = 1 \Rightarrow \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(P, L(\lambda)) = \# \text{ summands isomorphic to } P(\lambda)$$

# Properties of $P(\lambda)$

## Theorem

- (a) *In  $\mathcal{O}$ , every indecomposable projective module is isomorphic to a  $P(\lambda)$*
- (b) *The number of indecomposables isomorphic to  $P(\lambda)$  in the decomposition of a projective module  $P \in \mathcal{O}$  is equal to  $\dim \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(P, L(\lambda))$ .*
- ( $\Delta$ ) (c) *For all  $M \in \mathcal{O}$ ,  $\dim \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(P(\lambda), M) = [M : L(\lambda)]$ .  
In particular,  $\dim \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}} P(\lambda) = [P(\lambda) : L(\lambda)]$ .*

# Proof



# Indecomposable Injectives

## Definition

The **injective hull** of  $L(\lambda) \cong L(\lambda)^\vee$  is  $Q(\lambda) := P(\lambda)^\vee$ .

# Indecomposable Injectives

## Definition

The **injective hull** of  $L(\lambda) \cong L(\lambda)^\vee$  is  $Q(\lambda) := P(\lambda)^\vee$ .

## Corollary

The indecomposable injectives in  $\mathcal{O}$  are the modules  $Q(\lambda)$

# Standard filtrations of Projectives

## Theorem

- Each projective module in  $\mathcal{O}$  has a standard filtration.

Use construction of  $(\mathcal{O})$ :  $P(\lambda)$  is direct summand of  $P = M(\mu) \otimes L$

(Corollary)  
 $\Rightarrow$   $P$  has standard filtration with  $M(\lambda)$  occurring just once  
and other  $M(\nu)$  in filtration have  $\nu > \lambda$

$$P = P(\lambda) \oplus P'$$

$\Rightarrow$   $P(\lambda)$  inherits same type of filtration

# Standard filtrations of Projectives

## Theorem

- ▶ *Each projective module in  $\mathcal{O}$  has a standard filtration.*
- ▶ *The multiplicity  $(P(\lambda) : M(\mu))$  is nonzero only if  $\mu \geq \lambda$ .*

# Standard filtrations of Projectives

Theorem (□)

- ▶ Each projective module in  $\mathcal{O}$  has a standard filtration.
- ▶ The multiplicity  $(P(\lambda) : M(\mu))$  is nonzero only if  $\mu \geq \lambda$ .
- ▶  $(P(\lambda) : M(\lambda)) = 1$

# Proof

# Standard filtrations of Projectives

## Corollary

Each projective module  $P \in \mathcal{O}$  is determined up to isomorphism by its formal character, i.e.,

$$\text{ch } P = \text{ch } P' \text{ implies } P \cong P'.$$

Use (\*):  $P$  unique determines  $d_\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $P \cong \bigoplus d_\lambda P(\lambda)$

$\top P$ :  $\text{ch } P$  determine the  $d_\lambda$

Exercise 1.16:  $\text{ch } P = \sum_{\mu} c_{\mu} \text{ch } M(\mu)$ ,  $c_{\mu} \in \mathbb{Z}$  determined by  $P$

$\Rightarrow c_{\mu} \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\lambda$  be maximal among weights for which  $c_{\lambda} > 0$

$\Rightarrow P(\lambda)$  occurs  $c_{\lambda}$  times in the decomposition  $\Rightarrow d_{\lambda} = c_{\lambda}$

# Proof



## BGG Reciprocity

For all  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  we now have three indecomposable modules:

$$P(\lambda) \twoheadrightarrow M(\lambda) \twoheadrightarrow L(\lambda)$$

## BGG Reciprocity

For all  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  we now have three indecomposable modules:

$$P(\lambda) \twoheadrightarrow M(\lambda) \twoheadrightarrow L(\lambda)$$

The Verma modules have a less obvious obvious categorical meaning, but they play an intermediate role.

## BGG Reciprocity

For all  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  we now have three indecomposable modules:

$$P(\lambda) \twoheadrightarrow M(\lambda) \twoheadrightarrow L(\lambda)$$

The Verma modules have a less obvious obvious categorical meaning, but they play an intermediate role.

### Theorem (BGG Reciprocity)

For all  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  we have

$$(P(\lambda) : M(\mu)) = [M(\mu) : L(\lambda)] (= [M(\mu)^\vee : L(\lambda)]).$$

$$\text{d } M(\mu)^\vee = \text{d } M(\mu)$$

~~implies~~

## Proof

We prove:  $[M(\mu)^{\vee} : L(\lambda)] \stackrel{(a)}{=} \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(P(\lambda), M(\mu)^{\vee})$

$(P(\lambda) : M(\mu)) \stackrel{(b)}{=} \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(P(\lambda), M(\mu)^{\vee})$

(a)  $(\triangle)$  | (b)  $P(\lambda)$  has standard filtration  $\Rightarrow$  Use Theorem 3.7

## Fine points to be investigated

- ▶ How do we best describe the socle or radical series of  $P(\lambda)$ ?
- ▶ For which  $\lambda$  is  $P(\lambda)$  self dual ( $P(\lambda) \cong Q(\lambda)$ )?
- ▶ What is the structure of the algebra  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}} P(\lambda)$ ?

## $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ example

$$\mathfrak{h}^* = \mathbb{C}, \Lambda = \mathbb{Z}, \chi = \chi_\lambda, \lambda \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1\}$$

$\Rightarrow$  linked pair:  $\lambda, \mu = -\lambda - 2$

set  $\lambda > \mu \Rightarrow \mu$  antidominant  $\Rightarrow L(\mu) = M(\mu)$

$\lambda$  dominant  $\Rightarrow M(\lambda) = P(\lambda)$

$$\begin{cases} h \cdot v_i = (\lambda - 2i) v_i \\ x \cdot v_i = (\lambda - i + 1) v_{i-1} \\ y \cdot v_i = (i + 1) v_{i+1} \end{cases}$$

Prop 1.4: Maximal vect. of weight  $\mu$  in  $M(\lambda)$  (Explicitly  $v_{\lambda+1}$ )  
 $\Rightarrow M(\lambda)$  has submodule  $\cong L(\mu)$

All weight spaces in  $M(\lambda)$  and  $L(\mu)$  are 1-dimensional

Composition series  $M(\lambda)$ :  $\tau \subset L(\mu) \subset M(\lambda)$  with  $L(\lambda) \cong \frac{M(\lambda)}{L(\mu)}$

$M(\lambda)^\vee = P(\lambda)^\vee = Q(\lambda)$ :  $\tau \subset L(\lambda) \subset Q(\lambda)$

## $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ example

$P(\mu)$

(□): Stark filtration with  $M(\mu) = L(\mu)$  as top quotient

↳ Filtration series:  $1 \subset M_1 \subset \dots \subset M_m = P(\mu)$  with  $L(\mu) \cong \frac{P(\mu)}{M_{m-1}}$

BGG-reciprocity:  $(P(\mu) : M(\lambda)) = [M(\lambda) : L(\mu)] = 1$

$$M(\lambda) \cong \frac{M_i}{M_{i-1}} \quad \forall i < m$$

↳  $1 \subset M(\lambda) \subset P(\lambda)$  with  $L(\mu) \cong \frac{P(\mu)}{M(\lambda)}$

$0 \rightarrow M(\lambda) \rightarrow P(\mu) \rightarrow M(\mu) \rightarrow 0$  is nonsplit

$P(\mu) \cong Q(\mu)$

## Indecomposable modules for $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$

### Proposition

Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$  and  $\{\lambda, \mu\}$  be a linkage class of integral weights with  $\lambda \geq 0$ ,  $\mu = -\lambda - 2$  and  $\chi = \chi_\lambda$ . Every indecomposable module in  $\mathcal{O}_\chi$  is isomorphic to one of the following five modules.

$$L(\lambda), \quad L(\mu) = M(\mu), \quad M(\lambda) = P(\lambda), \quad M(\lambda)^\vee = Q(\lambda), \quad P(\mu) = Q(\mu).$$